

IF I WERE THE DEVIL!

July 2, 2000

Toronto, Canada [George R Knight]

General Conference Session

July 2, 2000

[By This article written by George Knight is a picture of him with a look on his face that denotes shame upon those who do not agree with him. He therefore, instead of considering that people think differently from him, goes out to state what he would do if he were the devil. It just so happens that he is saying that if he were the devil, for the most part, he would run this church as it has always been run practically since its beginning--since God's people were ever on the earth! From the beginning, the propositions that he and others are bringing in for music and other things into worship had been proposed before. In the days of my youth, I clamored for popular music to become church music, but with sacred words. I, among others, was constantly rebuffed. In virtually every age there was sacred music and popular music. Therefore, Adventists since the beginning were like the Devil.

George Knight begins with the usual premise:]

Seventh-day Adventism at the edge of the 21st century is somewhere it never expected to be-on earth. Beyond that, it has expanded beyond the wildest dreams of its founders and appears to be continuing to expand. When I joined the church in 1961 there were somewhat over 1 million Adventists worldwide. That figure had expanded to over 2 million in 1970, 3.5 million in 1980, nearly 7 million in 1990, and roughly 11 million in 2000. At the present rate of growth we might expect to find 20 million Adventists in 2013 and 40 million sometime between 2025 and 2030, if time should last.

What a change from 1848 when there were about 100 believers. To them Ellen White's publishing vision that Adventism should someday be like streams of light extending clear round the earth must have seemed like wild nonsense. If one of them would have predicted 11 million Adventists, the others, like Sarah of old, probably would have laughed out loud. There is a sense in which the impossible has happened.

Those early believers were few, poor, and weak. On the other hand, the church today is many, with the most widespread worldwide presence of any Protestant church in history, and with billions of dollars of assets and means.

Yet growth has brought about its own complications and challenges. Things were simple in the early days of the church. They all spoke the same language, they all belonged to the same race, they all lived in a relatively restricted part of the northeastern United States, and they had all been reared in a culture that provided them with a shared value system and set of expectations.

In the year 2000 Adventism is far from simple. We hail from over 200 nations, utilize over 700 languages, and vary greatly in our cultural backgrounds and expectations.

[WARNING: It is true that there is phenomenal growth. Dr. Bacchiocchi has informed us that only 5% of Catholics attend church worldwide. Where are the other 95%?? Well, many of them are minding their own business. But there are many of them who are not. Among honest converts, these represent a significant portion of those numbers George Knight is talking about. Dr. Alberto Rivera, ex-high ranking Jesuit Priest informed us that militant Catholics have been coming into our church through accepting our appeals and showing interest and willingness to be members.

Yet actually, what George Knight has told us is a common lie. He is trying to tell us that it is only recently that different cultures have come among us that therefore justifies forced changes so that the entire environment is changed whether or not the old members like it. Immigration and a mixture of all cultures had well already been established before Ellen White was born. Most all the cultures were already over in the United States since its birth because it was a very sought for land because of the benefits of freedom. At those times **THERE WAS NO SUCH THING AS THE CELEBRATION WORSHIP STYLE, NEITHER WAS THERE ANY SUCH THING AS "WORSHIP STYLES."** You cannot find this conspiratorial concept before 1986!! Who can remember hearing about "Worship styles" before 1986? The days when the Titanic sailed the sea in its maiden voyage was a day when even that business (the shipping business) flourished beyond imagination. Is there anyone who knows what was in the steerage compartments of the Titanic? It was immigrants from most every different culture. When all such were won to the truth, they enjoyed the way worship as what was always conducted since the beginning of time! **CULTURE MADE NO DIFFERENCE! DON'T ALLOW THE JESUITS TO FOOL YOU!!**

No! A mixture of the cultures did not take place in the recent past in the struggle to force the Celebration Movement back from trying to take over already existing churches and silence the message of Adventism. When I was born and much further back, the United States of America was already a great mixture of culture. You are being deceived here in the usual way.]

Adventism today has unparalleled finances and reservoirs of skilled workers, and it faces unprecedented challenges in moving forward in its mission. If it has already accomplished the impossible in its past history, it still faces the challenge of again accomplishing the impossible in its future history. Fortunately, our God is an expert in doing the impossible. For better or worse, however, He has chosen to use quite fallible human instruments to finish His work.

[Here now is where we have problems with George Knight. George Knight, like so many examples we have shown, desires the church to change. From all that we have shown in the Jesuit Dialogues section of this website where we demonstrated that those who had this desire were DETERMINED to make sure that their desires were fulfilled at the expense of any other desires, is it possible that George Knight also fits into this mold? Well let's say that the church needs changing.

First: WHO IS GOING TO CHANGE IT??

We already have an example when "Tyrone" was being attacked by one posting under the name of "Caitlyn Alexander" on the Atoday forums.

[CA13.htm](#)

Caitlyn also was determined to make sure that the church changes. She brought up the same deceptive reasoning, but the problem was that Caitlyn used Jesuit tactics against the church in the same way George Knight did. She also told the members that it is great to differ in opinions just before the non-debatable demand for specific and planned changes imperceptibly became a part of the Adventist Church. She also pretended to be wishy-washy in order to accomplish this. She pretended to be tolerant and favor the opinions of those who differed with her. She had to do this because she was quick to accuse those who refused her changes with being intolerant. We were told by these that the leaders of the Adventist Church could not step in between controversies and rule which group is correct in interpretation from the other. They always used to use the expression, "We leave this question up to the individual judgment of our members" whenever touchy and controversial issues appeared. When honest Adventists reprovved them for accepting this Babylonish spirit, they were criticized and the leaders published everywhere that they were "CRITICAL" and "mean-spirited!" Why therefore are these

leaders now following what the honest Adventists said after calling them such names, and are no longer wishy-washy?? Why are they now forceful and not wimpy after they criticized honest Adventists for telling them that they must not be wimpy?

They told the honest Adventists that the Holy Spirit works with all these different conflicting groups and caused them to come to their contradicting conclusions. You need to read the Adventist Review articles of those turbulent years of the early 1970s (when many were crashed into membership) down to the furious 1990s in forced celebration.

Other groups in the church worship God too, they told us. They told us that we cannot therefore rule that the church must remain the way it always was. For themselves, they told us that they cannot play God and step between to make decisions at the expense of another. As we can see now they have demonstrated what was the purpose of all those years of playing dumb. Who is going to direct the church when the current leaders demanding change have already told us that they cannot play God to do such a thing if it involves controversy and if many of the saints disagree? We now find them demanding that the church change without consideration for the fact that the MAJORITY disagrees. We have seen for years that they do not care. We have brought this issue before many of them and before the strange people on the forums. They have accused us of being bitter, mentally ill, and a host of other things as they completely danced around our questions. You need to confront them with these questions!!

Question is then: How would the enemy determine to change God's church into an institution vulnerable to the Papacy?

George Knight speaks as he continues to ask what he would do if he were the devil:]

Now if I were the devil I would pit all of my energies against the human element in God's plan as His church seeks to move from the present into the future. In fact, if I were the devil I would plan my strategy very carefully. I would have a well-thought-out plan for frustrating the church in its mission.

[Yes, the Devil may well frustrate the plans of the church by seeking to change it as imperceptibly as possible into an ecumenical establishment.

Ellen White tells us that God has called His people to expose the iniquities of the Man of Sin. We go and sue another ministry that does this as the Reformers did it, calling them a "hate church." What did George Knight say against this move to frustrate the mission of the church?

Actually, the church would automatically move from the present to the future. Time waits for no one. Ellen White tells us that there will be a revival of PRIMITIVE godliness in the last days that will contain very few great men to support it. She also tells us in [RH Vol. I, pg. 405](#), that men in responsible positions will order ministers to preach the necessity for keeping the first day of the week in the Adventist organization. Yes, she tells us that the denominational organization IS GOING TO FALL! How can all these horrible things happen without this very change that George Knight is demanding? Is it possible that this prophecy can be fulfilled if we listen to the people who are fighting against these deceptive changes? The church has already changed! She is now in the business of suing, instead of praying for Christ to take care of her enemies as she has preached. She now says that the Eternal Gospel Seventh-day Adventist Church is an "Anti-Catholic" "Hate-church." She did not erect an opinion poll for you to have a say in this. Now words that were exclusively Catholic, had become her own. There was a time not too long ago when any Adventist who even used such words would be sent back to Rome. If I were to ask the new establishment to show me what the Eternal Gospel Seventh-day Adventist Church has done that the Reformers didn't, what would she answer?

Ellen White herself told us that after her departing, grievous wolves would come in and seek to change the church. If the church has to be changed, it will be changed either by the people who built it, or by the new members who are coming in. One of these have to dominate. The problem is that the leadership pretends to be impartial. Logically that means that all changes many around us are "dreaming" for, are impossible. How is it that changes are taking place anyway?]

The first thing on my agenda would be the upcoming generation of Adventists. If I were the Devil I would put my best energies into getting the church to reject the ideas and plans of the coming generation. That shouldn't be too difficult since in most areas they don't dress like their elders, sing like them, or even think like them. When I get older people to frown on guitars, I will at the same time help them forget that early Adventists didn't allow organs in their churches. While I take a shot at their so-called drama, I will help their elders forget that Jesus used fictional stories such as the rich man and Lazarus and that Ellen White used the term drama to refer to what we think of as soap operas.

[This whole setup is false. First of all, even according to the writings of Ellen White (In Child Guidance and other manuscripts CHILDREN NEVER THOUGHT LIKE GROWNUPS! She remarked often about their natural restless natures and how they had to be trained to be meek and unobstrusive. That had to be taught how they must behave in church and regard sacred things. The writings of the scriptures and the writings of the Spirit of Prophecy tell us that the youth must be controlled and not control the adults. Secondly, the changes that took place in Adventism today, DID NOT COME FROM THE NEW GENERATIONS.

-- New members did not send pastors to browbeat churches into change. Yes drama came into DIVINE WORSHIP in the church. The new members did not bring this in. When it came in, they liked it, but they themselves did not have a say in the entrance of the conspiracy.

-- New members did not make the decision to change already existing churches instead of trying out their new despotic revisions in their own newly erected churches. But how can our new leaders do something like this and allow Adventists to still worship unmolested while preaching the Advent messages? Don't you know that those messages expose the Papacy?

-- New members were not the ones who decided that these changes were to take place without the knowledge and/or consent of the members who already existed and built their respective churches. When the Celebration Movement stormed this denomination, we would not have even known that we were under a conspiracy if not for the documentation provided us by the independent ministries.

-- New members were not the ones who pushed the treasonous lie that the forced entrance of the Celebration Movement was a matter of culture just after they forced it upon all cultures, and all cultures are now plagued by that one "worship style" demanding admittance. If there are many "worship styles" according to culture, what are the names of the other "worship styles?"

-- New members were not the ones who devised the new "worship style" to include music any simpleton would know has been forbidden since the establishment of the Adventist Church, AS WELL AS EVERY OTHER PROTESTANT DENOMINATION! How is it that these people demanding change and thinking so big in their "dreams" about our church did not know that trouble would be caused if they push music on the church that has been determinedly forbidden since its beginning and since Protestantism and the very Christian religion was instituted?! Did we not even hear them say that they could not for the life of them figure out why they are being criticized? And how is it that they did not know that trouble would ensue from it, when we witnessed that they had already prepared board meetings in order to deal with and disfellowship those who opposed it?

The Jesuits included the music as an important asset to their movement because they knew that the veteran members would protest against it. They would then force it in over the objections of these veteran members. When the old members protest more, then would be time to brand them as hateful, bitter, belligerent and CRITICAL. Then would be time to disfellowship them--amazingly WITHOUT BEING CRITICAL! They would then lie to us by telling us that their new changes must coexist with what was always the church, but just by the fact that they carefully mixed the new changes with forbidden music and other things, you should have known that they planned to "take over" instead of to coexist. Since the church began, these ingredients contained in these separate movements and orders were like oil and vinegar. They could never mix!

Now, since the veteran members protest against the invasion, the invaders are telling us that the worst thing a person can be in this new and Jesuit age is PROTESTANT! That's the real word they were attacking. They therefore replaced it with another word: "critical." The problem is that George Knight is here being critical.

As further proof that the new members did not accomplish this treason, how many of you have seen the movie, SISTER ACT with Whoopie Goldberg? Don't be bashful! That movie demonstrated a Catholic Church being changed under the SAME PRINCIPLES that attacked the Adventist Church. That Catholic Church gave a lounge singer authority to change its music in worship and demonstrated that the church must learn from people in the world. The word CELEBRATION was even used. Drama was performed on the rostrum. If the new members came up with this, how come it is already in all the other churches? How come it arrived in the other churches first, and then infiltrated into the Adventist Church?

The question was asked on one of the links how there will be unified movements even attacking the church, when all the different members have differing preferences for how things should be? Even the new members have different preferences. How therefore is this going to be done without doing what the new leaders told us they can never do: make decisions on controversial issues at the expense of the honest views of many in the church that were given to them by the Holy Spirit?

Remember that it was the Adventist leaders who accomplished this! When Ellen White, in describing the last days, tells us that a great wind of human opinion would be blowing in confusion, what are we to think when we read Adventist publications and see Adventist leaders saying, "WOW!! ISN'T ALL THIS DIVERSITY AND DIFFERENCES OF THOUGHT WONDERFUL!" How are we to know how this condition came about?

The question was therefore raised on the following link of how a uniform movement or consensus can start since the members all have diverse thoughts. A Jesuit answers by telling the person who asked the question without explaining why, that a unified movement will emerge if the church agrees to change. Physically and realistically, this is impossible, but it will happen anyway because the Adventist Church is under a conspiracy by the workers of the Vatican. Here is where you can witness that dialogue from the Jesuit Dialogues section of this website:

[ECvsTom.htm](#)

We therefore conclude that the new movements were not brought in by the decisions even of the new members. The conspiring leaders want to cover their own treason. The very movement now destroying all the churches were trained into the people by the leaders, ministers and teachers. It was then forced in by the leaders, and the leaders now cover their actions by making us believe that the people brought in these new movements.]

And I certainly would encourage the older members to think of their drama as some great evil rather than an enacted parable. I would also help the Adventist church to forget that

their very movement was largely begun by young people whose ideas were innovative and creative.

[All these great words are deceptive and deadly as we have been shown they would be in the last days. For whatever seemingly good reasons are being brought out to allow drama ON THE HOLY ROSTRUM of the churches DURING THE DIVINE HOUR, let us understand candidly that those great arguments would not suffice in the Sanctuary where the Holy and Most Holy places are. Even dancing and other things that may well be commendable under certain circumstances outside or in daily worship under a parade-like enactment as David did in victory of war, there are certain things that are not to be done on the holy rostrum and during the divine hour. THAT IS WHY THEN THE DEVIL ALWAYS CONTROLLED THIS CHURCH, FOR SUCH SACRILEGE WAS NEVER AND COULD NEVER HAVE BEEN ALLOWED TO TAKE PLACE TO THIS VERY DAY IN ANY CHURCH OR DENOMINATION UNTIL NOW!! Just like womens ordination, this issue never bothered God's people since the day the Lord said, "Let there be light!" We now struggle to prevent this church from becoming more of a cult by the "big thinking" of leadership. Still again, don't be fooled. None of the innovation or creativity came from the youth: it all came from the leaders. What we're presently witnessing is what the scriptures told us is that great "falling away" just before the revelation of the Man of Sin.

Let the entire ancestry of this church accept the covered critical spirit of George Knight so that we can gladly all be condemned together! The entire generations not only of the Adventist Church, but all the Protestant Churches must gladly share in his condemnation. What I am trying to say is that the new leaders and people are glad that most of the saints are presently dead so that they do not have a say in these matters. With that is added the fact that the majority still doesn't want their changes, and yet nothing will get them to stop pushing it. The true "community of faith" is not the invaders who are changing the Adventist Church. THE COMMUNITY OF FAITH IN TRUTH IS THE ENTIRE BODY OF SAINTS BOTH DEAD AND LIVING. When you get to the point where you condemn the actions of the saints in former days, you are indeed both in error and in trouble.

Enacted parables are not to be done on the rostrum especially during the divine hour on Sabbath. You need to ask yourselves why the saints never could allow such enacted parables to be done in the way that is being forced upon the denomination. Yet other factors apply even in the content of that drama.]

Our Devil is not a dumb one. He knows that if he can discourage the best of the young from taking over the church that it will in the end be dead or dying.

[Look at those words above very seriously now, for they touch among the strongest issue in the struggle here.

First off and again: the church is not being taken over by the youth. All the new leaders causing all the trouble that we know of are not nearly as young as you may think, neither do they take orders from the young, neither do the young teach them. Youth leaders are not being taught by the youth. They themselves teach and lead the youth. George Knight is misapplying the responsibility here and is trying to cover his and their own actions by placing them upon the young generation they themselves have taught.

IF ALL THE YOUNG IN THE CHURCH UNIFIEDLY AND SUDDENLY STATED THAT THEY DON'T LIKE THE CHANGES, THE NEW LEADERS WOULD PUSH THOSE CHANGES ANYWAY!

YOU NEED TO TEST THIS OUT AND SEE!

We witnessed similar events take place in at least one church where the members told their Vatican pastor that they don't want the changes. He told them that they were going to have the changes anyway!

Again: to say that the young people must take over the church is again hypocritizing against the claim that diverse ideas must prevail and be tolerated. It hypocritizes against the plea for new changes to have COEXISTENCE! Here now again we see revealed the real agenda. You need to pointedly ask these leaders why they have made a career of deceiving the people for so many decades and on so many issues!

Which young group of youths instituted a trademark policy anyway? Which of them went to court and sued? Which of them went to Germany and made the Adventist Church there [repudiate the Three Angels Messages and join the German ecumenical alliance](#)? Which of them linked the Adventist health system to the Vatican's? Which waved the Vatican flag during the GC Session when the church was already under such distrust and members were already saying that she is infiltrated by the workers of the Papacy? What was there in the Italian flag that could not suffice? And what are the sentiments of the millions of dead bodies of the saints broken, maimed, burned and scattered in the tombs to be compared to whatever reason the mew leaders saw the necessity of doing this? What will the new leaders say to the saints when they get to heaven? What explanation will they have? Would there even be an issue if the Confederate Battle Flag was flown over the GC Session?]

To reach the new generation we must learn to communicate in the language of their day, just as Jesus used the language and idioms of His, and James White did in his.

[What, the new generations cannot understand the language of the bible? What! All these decades our ancestors did not reach their youth?? The youth cannot understand the bible the way it is now? If that is so, THEY CAN'T LEAD!! Since we cannot reach them with the bible, how is it that they are supposed to control us??

Yet that is language. It is not instituting policies and practices the leaders knew very well were always prohibited and denounced as evil in the Adventist Church. The whole movement they already knew was illegal, that's why board meetings were already quickly set up at the entrance of the new movements in order to deal with those who would protest against it. Please let no one tell you that the new members instituted these boards and were the ones who performed the mass-disfellowshippings of all who refused to submit to the Celebration movement.]

If the church insists on using the idioms of the 19th century to reach young people in the 21st it will eventually end up in the same place as the Amish, who have maintained their forms and traditions but lost their mission to the world.

[But all throughout history this problem didn't bother God's people! In the days of Ellen White, the language of the time was not like what we read in the KJV bible. The youth were trained to rise up to the language of the bible, and not the other way around.

So why don't we create an ebonics bible containing the street slang of youth? George Knight is here telling us that the bible is irrelevant for the youth because of its language. Look at its language! Look at the King James Version! Was it in God's plan that this version remain? Why don't we bring everything down to their level so that they cannot go any higher?

The church needs to recognize that the upcoming generations don't even think like those of us born in the 1940s and earlier.

[Don't be fooled by this statement. The youth of Ellen White's day didn't think like the older folks did either. There was popular music back in their day. It was not the Stone Age you know! Back then the youth wanted to change the church also. But still, a comparison with these days and the 1940s yields what kind of a result for morality, family and values? If the youth today do not think like the people in the 1940s, how does the world in the 1940s compare with the world of today?

The bible tells us that the last generation will establish the kingdom of the Antichrist and will persecute the Saints. Is it possible that these things will take place based upon the way they think? What does the bible say about the last generation on earth with their thinking? Where does it say that the Church must follow the thinking of the world? Is the church placed on earth to be trained by the world and their habits? Or is it supposed to be the other way around?

Still yet, who is to decide what changes are to take place above the dead bodies and differing beliefs of the very diverse membership the new leadership has created? I assure you that George Knight is not too interested in addressing this impossibility. He has to also demonstrate that a new order will emerge despite the logical impossibility. Who is going to decide these changes he is talking about? Why can't the new changes be established in new churches without dominating and taking over the old ones forcing the old members to leave as had been happening all over the denomination? The Celebration Movement had to conquer the already existing churches, because the object was to silence her distinctive message which condemns the Papacy.]

Brand loyalty is gone. The post-Watergate, post-Vietnam, post-modern world also tends to be post-denominational. The church can no longer expect mindless or guilt-ridden loyalty just because people were born Adventist or because they think Adventism has the truth.

[George Knight is more strongly revealing Jesuit tactics here. He is telling us to relieve ourselves from "mindless or guilt-ridden loyalty" in order to cause us to submit and shift that same, according to him, mindless and guilt-ridden loyalty somewhere else. The statement "because they think Adventism has the truth," is very questionable indeed. I happen to KNOW that Adventism has the truth. Would it even interest you to question now why those who claim that we only think that Adventism is the truth have replaced those in the church who will tell you that they know that Adventism is the truth? How is it that those who tell us that we only think that Adventism is the truth, are the ones defining for us who or what dissidents are?

Even with the issue of womens ordination, which never bothered God's people throughout the age of the planet till now, we have seen the result of rejecting our "mindless or guilt-ridden loyalty" in order to be loyal to the new leaders. Just take a look at the General Conference Session website where this article is found and look at the picture of George Knight. His very face shows that he is trying to riddle God's true people with guilt because they dare to follow the guidance given them through history (which includes the bible) and will not submit to their revisions.

Does George Knight have a right to change years and years of established principle first through the pretense of favoring all ideas? Does he have a right to humiliate God's people for refusing his plans, as is rightfully termed? The leaders have already troubled us. They demand change. The majority of the church does not. Lastly, the majority has the benefit already of the established status. It is now still in their favor despite all the connivery. Why then don't they stop? Is that what Jesus did when the Sanhedrin didn't accept His teachings?

We again say that the Church is not to expect that changes will be forced upon them by leaders who just a little while ago could not decide even the simplest issues in contention

because, say they, they dare not offend any group in the Adventist Church and play God. How therefore are we going to accept mindless, guilt-ridden loyalty to the new leadership? They created the concept of "worship styles" outside of inspiration. **WHAT MORE ARE WE GOING TO SIT BACK AND ALLOW THEM TO DO!!** It was that same mindless and guilt-ridden loyalty that enabled the Adventist Church to be a cult--separated from the entire history of God's people with all these new, unscriptural and unheard of concepts! And now the voice of the dragon is heard as she is preparing again to seek the aid, not of the Lord, but of the government to force the independent ministries to unite with her and be overcome by her new and cultic ideas.

Did they not trouble us already with the issue of Womens Ordination? Did we not already know for sure that homosexuality was wrong, but now, as we express joy over all the debate and diverse and big thinking and discussion going around, we somehow cannot figure out what was child's play before? Why are we keeping these same leaders and not consenting to **CHANGE** them? Don't we need **CHANGE**?]

To the contrary, the church will need to demonstrate that it truly is what it claims to be and that it is using its funds and resources faithfully. Today's youth have fewer questions about using their funds and talents outside of organized Adventism than their elders.

This is no small problem. The youth of the church are its greatest asset and the youth outside the church are its present and future mission field. The youth are Adventism's greatest opportunity and most serious challenge. The church must formulate plans to reach their minds and enlist their support. They will be the church of the future.

[In the process, according to these, we **MUST** enact principles the Adventist Church has always condemned. Anyone who doesn't want these principles the church has always condemned, **MUST BE CRITICIZED AND BELITTLED! THEY MUST BE DEVILS!** Then, those leaders who criticized them must preach to us about never accepting that "critical spirit" that freed the world from the domination of Rome.]

If I were the Devil I would get the church to think small. This tactic is closely related to that of frustrating the young people, because the young have not yet discovered that everything is impossible. I know Adventists who can give 110 reasons why almost anything that is suggested can't be done. And they usually buttress their argument with Bible verses and Ellen White quotations, taken out of their historical context.

[George Knight has just used Jesuit tactics here. He brought in the despot tone. Now everything contrary to his ideas is out of context. He well knows that people are going to bring scripture and SOP documentation against his plans. We have yet to see Spirit of Prophecy support for changing the church. Now he needs to use some measure to convince the people not to listen to those others who would bring inspiration to oppose his plans. Those with the new ideas always falsely claim to like discussion. So George Knight here gives us an environment favorable for discussion by alerting the people about those who would oppose his plans through using inspiration!

There's no need to show evidence of anything anymore. There is no need for discussion against George Knight's ideas. Those who oppose him can give 110 reasons to sustain and preserve the Adventist Church like George Knight is here giving many reasons to change it through his fundamental dislike of the way it is now.

We must therefore suffer from George Knight's critical spirit and conclude by his words that the Adventist Church always thought small. Remember the comparison of thinking: Issues that never bothered the church throughout the entire history of the planet now trouble her because of the actions of those who think big. We had the brainpower to know that homosexuality and womens ordination were wrong. Now we hopelessly struggle even

on internet forums to find out the truth about these and many other issues because of strange people telling us that they disagree. Now that the majority disagrees with the Celebration Movement, they still push it anyway and form an inquisition against those who would oppose it. Those demanding change even ask the question: "What are we going to do with this topic about homosexuality!" That's the question they ask with most every topic that used to be as easy as pie. They now have to tell us that WE have to think BIG! The generations before could not think like us!! They are telling us that we can't find the truth about it BECAUSE SO MANY DISAGREE THAT HOMOSEXUALITY IS WRONG. What is the problem now when we discover that even a majority thinks that the new changes are wrong???

But it appears here that George Knight has the same bad habit of dictating despite those who would disagree, whether or not someone has taken Ellen White's writings out of context. Suddenly all the wishy-washy wimpiness has gone, and no explanation has been provided to justify the startling change. ON THIS WEBSITE WE ARE TRYING TO TELL YOU THAT'S THE WAY THEY ACTUALLY ALWAYS WERE. FROM THE BEGINNING THEY NEVER FAVORED VARYING OPINIONS. THEY ARE CONSPIRING AGAINST YOU BECAUSE THEY WORK FOR ROME!

Want to personally ask them the reason for this sudden metamorphosis where before they condemned the old leaders for stating how things should be for everyone despite their diversity? Don't you remember how they demonized such people, and how now they are adopting that same premise and forcing this church against the will of the majority?

The very message of the Omega was partly to demonstrate to the people that the enemy infiltrated among Adventists will work upon the youth. The Independent Ministries strangely have not been attacking nor framing the minds of the youth of the Church. The leaders are now using false consideration for the youth in order to demand change. THEY ARE THE ONES WHO ARE ORCHESTRATING THE MOVEMENT AND NOT THE YOUTH.

Please do not be fooled. Mr. Knight is not at all concerned about the youth here. The youth were not, are not and will not be the ones who will devastate and change this church into an entity vulnerable to the Vatican. I assure you again that if the youth themselves verbally decided not to have these changes, the men of change will push them away.]

Such apostles of negativism have apparently never read 6T 476: "New methods and new plans will spring from new circumstances. New thoughts will come with new workers who give themselves to the work...They will receive plans devised by the Lord Himself." (New workers, of course, are often young workers.)

[We believe that the order and instructions given to us by our ancestors is sound! Who is this non-critical apostle of positivism who is putting it down?? Okay, we vote to have new leaders! WE NEED CHANGE! WE NEED A NEW ORDER!! Who is going to be negative about that motion?

Isn't it strange that George Knight already told us that anyone who opposed his plans with inspiration has taken such inspiration out of context? This statement is taken out of context, for Ellen White already told us that new plans or changes will not condemn the truths that were already found. The truths about reverence in God's house, about men holding leadership roles and all are supposed to still stand. The fiction given us by the new leaders about diverse opinions and the wonderful effects of questioning Adventist doctrine was always unbiblical, unprecedented, and conducive to the establishment of groups that would break away, but that didn't stop them from pushing it anyway.]

The apostles of negativism need to learn the lesson of the bumblebee. It is

aerodynamically impossible for bumblebees to fly, but they don't know it, so they do it anyway.

Thinking small in Adventism means church "X" baptizing 50 in 2001 rather than 25; it means topping the 20 million mark by 2004 instead of 2013. With small thinking, the church will be on the planet for a long time.

[Question 2: If the Adventist Church changed to Sunday observance, as Ellen White told us that she eventually would, is it conceivable that many more people would become members? DO WE HAVE TO TELL YOU THAT THE CHANGES THEY ARE NOW ENACTING ARE DESIGNED TO ACCOMPLISH THIS IN A ROUNDABOUT AND TIMELY WAY!!!

If George Knight wants the church to change so badly, shouldn't he just pray and let go and let God? Shouldn't he just let the Lord change the church as these told us when we stood up to stand against their apostasy? Should we force the new leaders to obey their own rules?]

I think of my friend in Hawaii, Arnold Trujillo. He now has 29 churches and companies with 5500 members but has publicly stated that his goal is to have 10,000 home church units of 12 members each by 2005 and is currently laying the groundwork for that expansion. Is that a vision or a delusion? They may be close together. But never forget the impossible task of our forebearers. What, we need to ask, is the magnitude of latter-rain faith? How can we think big and best utilize our funds and working force to make our dreams come true?

[Please brethren LOOSE YOURSELVES FROM THE DELUSION THAT YOU ARE PERSONALLY DOING ANY THINKING FOR THIS DENOMINATION! YOU DO NOT REALIZE WHO IS AT THE HELM OF THIS DENOMINATION YET. George Knight is deceiving you. He is trying to make you think that you are included in the decisions of this church. For your information, the majority of Adventists oppose his plans. He knows this, so he is trying to act like the majority are really opposing the decisions of the church. Even the call to unity given in the recent article of condemnation of Hope International is actually a call for them to give up their beliefs and surrender their ideas to what the denominational leaders are now pushing. George Knight is trying to tell us about "OUR" dreams. He is asking questions, demonstrating the unknown for future decisions. What has happened in the church for decades has demonstrated that there is no questions to be asked. ALREADY THOUGHT OUT PLANS WERE FORCED UPON THIS DENOMINATION THAT ARE STILL BEING WORKED AGAINST IT!]

If I were the Devil I would get people to believe that there is only one way to do something and that everybody has to do it that one way.

[WELL SPOKEN! Because Celebration ministers stormed our churches and told the people that there were many ways to worship, BUT THEY ONLY PUSHED ONE WAY OR STYLE UPON ALL CULTURES. Those who existed in the victim churches who dared try to even study about the Three Angels Messages and the Sanctuary in their own churches were often attacked by these Celebration Pastors. These pastors did everything to squelch and ridicule the distinctive teachings of Adventism, and they made sure that their sermons never featured them. They did everything they could to cover these special revelations of Christ through using Christ Himself. Contrary to the truth, MOST OF THE TIME THEY DID NOT ALLOW ANY OTHER "STYLE" OF WORSHIP BUT THEIR OWN, OR UNLESS THE PEOPLE PROTESTED TOO STRONGLY.

Like it or not: The Jesuits always pretend to love diverse thinking while they always push a singular agenda and way. They will plainly tell you that the church should be diverse and even accept other translations of the bible. Soon you will find in your churches every other version BUT the King James Version. Haven't you ever thought that there was a reason for this?]

Take worship, for example. A few years ago the North American Division had some tension over what was called celebration worship. I don't know that much about celebration worship, but I do know that in the average Adventist service I can fall asleep during the invocation, wake up at the benediction, and be able to tell you everything that happened in between.

[But the Pioneers were different. Moses, Elijah, Martin Luther, Calvin, Zwingly--NONE OF THEM HAD THIS SICKNESS OR PROBLEM!

They didn't have drama in their churches on the rostrum, and neither did any of God's people for the entire history of this planet. It is not the old Adventists that are turning the denomination into an unprecedented cult.]

The Church needs to realize, as Ellen White puts it, that "not all minds are to be reached by the same methods." Worship styles, for example, are related to people's socio-economic class. What may reach an upper-middle class community may not appeal to Pentecostals or high church Anglicans or Orthodox. I did not say that we should become Pentecostals or Orthodox, but that we should utilize styles that speak to their needs.

[If what George Knight is saying is true, then they can build SDA Celebration Churches as new churches and leave the old ones alone. That way diversity will be preserved. If you want, you can go to a Celebration Church, or to one that was like the way God's people had it since the beginning. Don't you understand that these who are changing the church are not that stupid! They have to take over the churches that are already existing in order to stop the message and the preaching against the Papacy!

Those who like that new stuff can form their own churches. If they truly had the Holy Spirit, this should not be hard since they claim that the Devil formed the ones that were already here. If not, there is a whole world out there that thinks like them. The Adventist Church should be provided diversity in having a church that stands out of all the rest if people so like variety. Outside of our denomination those who desire to change it will be comfortable. That is where they are determined to force us anyway. The new thinking and movements are here to show us that already existing churches with their own established customs and preferences, MUST BE TAKEN OVER!

Ellen White herself condemned the methods of Pentecostals, for those of you who don't know. The new movements forcing themselves upon the church always sponsor not just new or different ideas, but ideas we were plainly warned against. That is why part of the "benefits" of this new and big thinking is "higher criticism" against the reliability of Ellen White.]

Adventism doesn't need 1 or 2 ways of worshiping. It needs 50 or more if it is to reach all the people. Another way of saying it is that if everybody in the church looks like me, we aren't reaching out very far.

[The principle of "worship styles" is one of the single greatest proofs that the Adventist

Church is horribly infiltrated by the armies of the Papacy. It is blasphemy in the highest! Please [click on this link](#) for the evidence proving the great hoax of this concept of "worship styles." Those who have adopted this deception are guilty of worshipping the creators of this concept. Where in the bible do we hear anything about worship styles? Please demand an answer from our leaders demanding change to this question. If there are more than two "worship styles," please ask your new leaders to give us the names for even more than two of them.]

I have spoken about worship, but the same can be said for evangelism. Our God has created variety everywhere. We must move beyond sing-crop harvesting in any given community and reach out for all God's children. We need to consciously develop methods and procedures that are quite unlike our traditional ones if we are going to reach those most unlike us.

[Do not believe the "diversity" deception. Did you read the article on [Authority vs. Freedom](#) yet? Please do, so that you can understand that they cover their despotic plans by giving false impressions about their aims and plans first. The whole world is LOSING its diversity because of the changes being imposed upon all the churches for their Pope. The whole world is now "celebrating."

We can have that diversity if the Celebrationists established their own churches and demonstrated for everyone the virtue of their movement. Let them answer the pointed question why they cannot form their own churches and demonstrate their virtues so that the others can see them. PRESENT THIS QUESTION POINTEDLY TO GEORGE KNIGHT, AND TO EVERYONE ELSE DEMANDING CHANGE. So far that we have done that, they have consistently ignored us completely.

Any dummy can see that the Celebration Movement stormed churches that were not, took them over, and then went to other churches conquering them one by one with the intention to make them extinct and eliminate "THEIR KIND." The whole scenario about fairness and diversity was never the agenda of the new leaders.]

If I were the Devil I would downplay the importance of new technologies in finishing the church's work. New technology has tremendous power for both good and evil. Too often we have left the field to the Devil. H. M. S. Richards once told me that he had to fight the brethren at every step. Radio was too new, too radical, too innovative, too untried, a waste of the Lord's money.

["New techniques" must not be tolerated if those new techniques bring in principles or practices that were always condemned by our church and all the other Protestant Churches even. New techniques must never be enforced against the people by diversity loving leaders who already told us that they cannot rule between controversial issues.

Whatever the new renovation, as we have shown, the least we know is that certain people are not supposed to be the voice to renovate ANYTHING. Those people are the leaders who conspired against us and pretended to be wishy-washy first, who could not judge between the views of two or more contradicting groups within the organization. George Knight is just one of many willingly forgetting this. We need to place all those for change back in that blessed state of wimpiness between touchy and controversial issues--blessed for God's church, people and truth!]

Today we stand on the frontier of technologies for spreading the three angels' messages

that Richards didn't even dream of. Today as never before we need a generation with the H. M. S. Richards spirit but with 21st century imaginations.

[George Knight is trying to deceive us here. There is nothing wrong with using television and satellite as new technology. Using these things do not cause the church to accept positions that were once condemned.]

Before leaving the topic of technology, I need to say that I thought that the idea of the NET programs was crazy. Who would go to church to watch a preacher on a screen? I am glad that I was wrong. The NET programming has put Adventists on the very frontier of some types of worldwide communication. What other ideas are out there for the discovery? How can we best utilize them?

[With the technology we have today, the message would have already been given. If the technology was placed upon the old Adventist Church, the work would have been finished. Remember: the self-proclaimed wiser church now condemning her history cannot figure out that homosexuality is wrong, nor can it understand that womens ordination is also wrong.]

If I were the Devil I would make pastors and administrators the center of the work of the church. It must have been the Devil that gave us the idea that the pastor should do all the preaching, give all the Bible studies, be a church's primary soul winner, and make and carry out business decisions for the church.

[Remember, it was these pastors and administrators who are even now struggling to enforce against us the changes they are telling us were produced by the youth and new members. It was these pastors and administrators who decided that we were to join the German Ecumenical Alliance without even the knowledge of the people. Remember: it was these pastors who even forced in the Celebration and forced us to even know about it through the heroism of the independent ministries. It was they who disfellowshipped those who refused to accept it.]

We need to move beyond the place where we see churches as entertainment centers for the saints. We need to get more priests into the priesthood of the believers. If we wait for the clergy to finish the work, Adventism will be on planet Earth for a little longer than eternity.

The challenge is to create a generation of Adventist pastors and administrators who become equippers, who are skilled at helping people use their talents in the work of reaching the world. Pastors need to become enablers not mother hens hovering over their fledglings. Al McClure is reported as having said at a church planting convention that any church that doesn't spin off or plant a new church in three years ought to lose its pastor. If Elder McClure didn't say that, he should have. Adventism needs to take definite steps to recast the role of the pastor into that of enabler.

If I were the Devil, I would undermine the importance of the local congregation. One of the great needs of Adventism is the creation and maintenance of vibrant local congregations. A healthy congregation is not a group of individuals, but a body of believers reaching out to the community around them.

The task of the world church in its General Conference organization is to coordinate funds and personnel in order to send Christ's message to the far corners of the earth. Thus

Congregationalism as a form of organization is not sufficient in itself. But, on the other hand, the denomination in the long run will only be as healthy as its local congregations. What can be done to create healthier congregations at the local level?

If I were the Devil I would create more administrative levels and generate more administrators. In fact, if I were the Devil I would get as many successful church employees as far from the scene of action as possible. I would put them behind desks, cover them with papers, and inundate them with committees. If that wasn't enough, I would remove them to so-called "higher" and "higher" levels until they had little direct and sustained contact with the people who make up the church.

Now don't get me wrong. I believe in church organization. But I also believe in food, and I know that too much of a good thing has less than healthy results.

Many believe that Adventism needs to trim down the number of its administrative types and the amount of its administrative real estate so that more money and energy is put into fighting the battle on the front line.

Many Adventists are tired of paying the massive bill for a multi-layered system. At the 1999 Annual Council in Brazil I pointed out that there is no church in the world with as many administrative levels to support as Adventism. When the article was published by the Adventist Review the editor wanted to insert "except the Roman Catholic." I responded by telling them to add "including the Roman Catholic." The Roman Catholic system has 2 levels above the local church, while Adventism has 4.

The current system was developed in the horse-and-buggy era, when even the telephone hadn't come into its own. The challenge for the church in the 21st century will be to reorganize along lines that take into account modern transportation and communication. I am just completing a book on the history of Adventist church organization that suggests a 3-tiered totally restructured model that is arranged in such a way as to capture the advantages of a worldwide church while at the same time providing for local initiative.

[These sentiments are far more agreeable!]

More and more Adventists are realizing that there are other ways to structure the church in the post-modern world that would free up both workers and money for finishing God's work on earth. Too much money, claim many, is being used to run the machinery, as if the machinery were an end in itself. Many of the potential opportunities of the future are contingent upon successfully restructuring in a manner that will free up resources and encourage the investment of additional resources. This task may be one of the greatest challenges of our day.

If I were the Devil I would make Adventists afraid of the Holy Spirit. Too many of us fear Pentecostalism when we think of the topic of the Holy Spirit. On the other hand, we need to remember the Bible teaching about the necessity of the Spirit in Christian work, and Ellen White's thought that the reception of the Holy Spirit brings all other blessings in its train.

[Take note that the sentiments expressed here are essentially the same provided in the Jesuit Dialogues webpage by one posting as under the name, "Tom Norris."]

The Holy Spirit is not brought into any congregation through lies. No person filled with the Holy Spirit will first crash recruit members into the organization without thoroughly teaching them its principles. No such person would then pretend to be wishy-washy when veteran members warn the new members about the rules. No such person would preach about the doctrine of diverse thinking and questioning the doctrine of the church where no such principle can be found anywhere in the scriptures. No such Holy Spirit person would

constantly preach against the "CRITICAL SPIRIT" when the Protestant spirit arises in the protest of people who don't want their churches to be force-changed by very strange people in pastors and administrator's clothes. No such person would teach the members to do nothing about their concerns, and would then establish board meetings in order to disfellowship people who protest against their concerns and conspiracy. No such person would encourage "higher criticism" against the doctrines of Adventism as established after he constantly preaches that we are not to accept a critical spirit. No such person will force movements upon the people without telling them, while pretending to be wholly for the wishes of the people. The list goes on and on and on! God's people already saw the fruit of those coming in to teach us about the Holy Spirit. They are even now telling us that our church absolutely must change so that we receive the Gospel they say. We can't convince them that the Gospel does not force itself on anyone!

George Knight is telling us that the Adventist Church virtually never had the Holy Spirit. Can we recognize a critical spirit when we see one?]

Some years ago I noted in a General Conference presentation that Adventists didn't really believe in the 27 fundamental beliefs--especially the one about the perpetuity of spiritual gifts until the end of time. We tend to believe in spiritual gift rather than spiritual gifts, and most of us restrict that gift to an individual safely in her grave for the last 85 years. If I got a true gift of tongues today I would probably be carried off this platform. If I got a true gift of prophecy there would be a massive committee to study the situation for the next ten years.

Now I have to admit that even talking about such things makes me nervous, because the Spirit is difficult (impossible) to control. But, on the other hand, we have the promise of Joel 2 and the spiritual outpouring in the last days--a spiritual outpouring that will most likely split the church right down the middle.

[But the Holy Spirit gave us divine guidance, and extra special guidance in order to carry us through these difficult times. Those demanding the changes know that they can't have those changes so long as the people still have faith in the special guidance given to the church. They therefore encourage a questioning of the doctrines and order of the church, and Ellen White.

What follows is natural: They constantly speak up for trust of leadership. Ellen White is optional. We don't have to even believe that she is inspired, but the new leaders are not optional. We must trust them they tell us!]

How much do we really think about the Holy Spirit and the outpouring of the latter rain? Are we so focused on goals, structures, and human endeavor that we have forgotten the essential power behind each of them?

[Fine! Let George Knight get his changes through the Holy Spirit, and not through works like this article.]

What steps can be taken to allow the Spirit its proper place in Adventism? Or do we hope to finish our work without His troublesome presence?

[We allow the Holy Spirit place by acknowledging and obeying the guidance the Holy Spirit has given us. Yet how can anyone say that he doesn't have the critical spirit, as these do, when they already tell the church that it doesn't have the Holy Spirit? How do they treat

those who disagree with them? How do they know that the church doesn't have the Holy Spirit?]

I am intrigued by such statements as 1 Samuel 1:18. We all know the part about the final work going like fire in the stubble, but have we read the rest of the paragraph? "God will employ agencies whose origin man will be unable to discern; angels will do a work which men might have had the blessing of accomplishing, had they not neglected to answer the claims of God."

If I were the Devil I would encourage the denomination to keep playing the numbers game. The worst thing that ever happened to Adventism is when it learned to count. We count members, churches, institutions, money, and everything else. While numbers have their proper place, they may have very little to do with the reality of a finished work.

[And why must the church learn from popular musicians? Was it not to secure numbers? Why must she change? One of the foremost reasons is that she needs new members.]

One result of the numbers game is that we tend to put our money where we can get the most souls for the least money, where we get the most results. That has meant that we have not put the kind of effort into those parts of the world that are the most difficult. Likewise, in the North American Division, the most difficult group to evangelize happens to be Caucasians. Some years ago I wrote the division president that if he didn't start putting more effort toward creatively evangelizing that self-satisfied group that in 50 years the largest unreached people group in the world could be white North Americans. The numbers problem takes on different configurations in various parts of the world, but we need to consistently face it in our planning if we ever hope to reach all God's children.

If I were the Devil I would get Seventh-day Adventists to forget or at least downplay their apocalyptic heritage. Adventism has never seen itself as just another denomination, but rather a people of prophecy with its roots in Revelation 10-14. It is that belief in Adventism that as a special called out people with an urgent message that has driven the church to the ends of the earth.

[This is odd, and probably deceptive. The very little foot in the door that was given to the Celebration Movement resulted immediately in the apocalyptic heritage of the Adventist Church being attacked. Now anyone doing anything like the Reformation are called "Anti-Catholic" by the new leaders. How therefore will those who demand the changes speak up in respect for our apocalyptic heritage?]

When that vision is gone, Adventism will become just another toothless denomination that just happens to be a little more peculiar in some of its beliefs than some of the others.

Our approach to apocalyptic in future planning will determine whether Adventism will continue to be a movement or will be transmuted into a monument of the movement and eventually into a museum about the movement.

While we are on the topic of apocalyptic, it is significant that we speak to the people in our day. It just doesn't get people excited about the nearness of the advent to tell them that there was a great earthquake in Lisbon in 1755 and that the stars fell in 1833. I have no problem with those events in terms of their historicity and their power on people in the 19th century, but we need to help people see the ongoing apocalyptic events in the framework of our day.

If I were the Devil I would get Adventists to hold that all of their beliefs are of equal importance. To the contrary, the plain fact is that having a saving relationship with Jesus is at the very center of Christianity. That relationship is not at the same level as eating a pork chop. I have known Sabbath-keepers who are meaner than the Devil.

[No George Knight did not know anyone who was meaner than the Devil, because he already preached against the critical spirit! No he did not see anyone meaner than the Devil, because he told us that we cannot judge!]

I have even known vegetarians who are meaner than the Devil.

[No he did not while preaching against the critical spirit and against making statements others may not agree with and against judging!]

The church needs to think of its beliefs in terms of what is primary and what is secondary, of what is central and what is at the edges.

[Therefore George Knight's judgments are gospel. Who among those who loved the church did not know and understand that as soon as they pushed for the re-establishment of the long neglected present truth doctrines, people demanding change criticized them and accused them of worshipping these things instead of having a relationship with Christ?]

The Bible picture is clear that all genuine Christianity flows out of a saving relationship with Jesus Christ. It is all too easy to be an Adventist without being a Christian.

[And how would he know that without being critical?]

In our evangelism and in Adventism's entire outreach program the centrality of Christ needs to be made crystal clear. The challenge is to consciously structure our outreach so that people become Christians as they become Adventists, since Adventism is meaningless outside of its Christian framework.

If I were the Devil I would get Adventists fighting with each other.

[And what better way to do that than by enforcing changes upon them without their knowledge or consent? What better way than to belittle them and call them hateful, mean and all because they disagree and protest against the conspiracy? What better way to get them fighting against each other than to crash recruit new members without training them in the rules and what to expect? Who brought the confusion and all diverse thought upon the church, thus creating a great wind of human opinion and debate that the Spirit of Prophecy condemned?]

Any old topic would do—worship styles, theology, dress standards.

[There is no such animal as "worship styles." Find it for me in inspiration or in any precedent of God's people, and I will believe it!]

Anything would do for my purposes, if I were the devil. After all, if the Adventists were busy shooting all their bullets at each other, they wouldn't have many left over for me. The Devil has been quite successful with this strategy. What can be done to help us find and defeat the real enemy?

[Simple: Use the Anti-Jesuit mandate on this website prayerfully:

[AntiJesM.htm](#)

It will work, but those demanding the church to change will surely pay the price for troubling God's people.]

If I were the Devil I would get as many Adventists as possible to think tribally, nationally, and racially. I would make the church one big power struggle without regard to mission or efficiency.

[The teaching of diversity can only more worsen this problem. We are suffering from so many changes, it is as if the Adventist Church has taken birth control pills to prevent her from birthing Christ since the new changers came. The old Adventism was not tribal, racial nor national? It already had a great diversity of races and cultures. It is the accuser of the brethren who would try to force this falsehood upon God's people whether they like it or not. What happens when true Adventists refuse to unite with those who have already shown them that a uniting with them means a destruction of their own ideas?]

Having made that statement, I hasten to add that there are injustices that need to be rectified and complex situations that can never be made completely straight. My plea is that even in the most difficult and unjust situations we need to behave as born again sisters and brothers who are able to discuss these things without losing sight of the mission of the church that makes the issues meaningful in the first place. Along this line, Adventism needs to develop mechanisms to enrich and enlighten its multiculturalism and its internationalism.

[But that's the false premise used to bring in the confusion. The idea that people come from different cultures and therefore think differently was used to bring all the confusion upon the church. They wove this diversity into the vital doctrine of the church!]

Lastly, if I were the Devil I would get Adventists to look miserable on Sabbath. When do Adventists rejoice—sundown Friday or sundown Saturday?

[The Laodicean message does not tell the Laodicean Church to rejoice. It tells them that they are miserable, wretched, poor, blind and naked. This is not supposed to make them respond with rejoicing, but it is Satan's purpose to have them rejoice in that condition so that they can be locked into it till the Plagues fall. They are supposed to prepare first and be prepared. How are they prepared when they are attacked by Rome and believe in diversity? They don't implicitly trust the messages of inspiration anymore.

Those struggling to change the church and the nation also demand for the population to party and rejoice, for this keeps them from seeing the Vatican conspiracy.]

Too many of us act as if Sabbath was a penalty for being an Adventist instead of a sign of our salvation and the greatest blessing of the week.

[And how would someone with an anti-critical spirit know that?]

This unfortunate attitude shows up in too many of our churches. Why, I have been to Adventist churches where no one even greeted me. So I didn't disturb them with a greeting. Rather, I just took a seat. Then about 11:05 someone stops to ask if I am the speaker. In the middle of my sermon I ask them if they were a non-Adventist visitor, if they would ever return. I would tell them that I wouldn't.

It takes more than correct doctrine to fill a church. We need not only doctrinal truth but the truth as it is in Jesus (Luke 13:35).

I'm tired of playing the role of the Devil. Where does God come into all of this? If I were God I would encourage the Seventh-day Adventist Church to start thinking, planning, and acting in a manner that will defeat the devil's game plan.

[This is again untrue. We have demonstrated the independence of the new leaders to the wishes of the people. George Knight deceives us here by using the "WE" word. WE will make the decisions. This is a lie. All throughout the history of the earth, the Adventist Church were better thinkers than those today.]

I would encourage Adventism to multiply the power of its blessings; treat its challenges in an open, honest, and Christian manner; and put all its energies into maximizing its missiological opportunities.

Success will not come about by accident, but will be the product of deliberate thought, planning, and action.

[Planning, thought and action BY WHOM!! Let us accept the fact that the Holy Spirit doesn't move by lies. Who is George Knight telling us here is making all the decisions? Do the majority of Adventists want the changes that are being forced in against us?? The case is closed for George Knight according to his own principles, but how can he afford to stop here?]

In closing I would like to thank the General Conference administration for the call to significant thinking and discussion in the 5 windows on the church that they have provided during this session. The assignment for each delegate this afternoon is to make a list of what he or she considers to be (1) the greatest opportunities of the church today and (2) the biggest challenges as the church faces a completed mission in the 21st century.

[He is thanking them, for this is the only way to force in change. The United States can NEVER be destroyed if it adheres to the principles the Lord left her. The Adventist Church can NEVER fall if it adheres to the principles the Lord left her. The only way to destroy these entities, is to hear the loud cry for CHANGE! CHANGE is brought about first by the falsehood of discussion. We were told over and over again not to dally with nor have dialogue with Rome!]